



Low-frequency noise: Experiences from individuals reporting LFN complaints

Kristina Erdélyi¹, Anselm Fuermaier¹, Janneke Koerts¹, Lara Tucha², Oliver Tucha^{1, 2, 3}

¹ Department of Clinical and Developmental Neuropsychology, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

² Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Center Rostock, Rostock, Germany

³ Department of Psychology, Maynooth University, National University of Ireland, Maynooth, Ireland

Corresponding author's e-mail address: k.h.erdelyi@rug.nl

ABSTRACT

Until now, Low-frequency noise (LFN) is hardly recognized as an environmental stressor, and its consequences on daily functioning have been rarely investigated. LFN is predominantly produced by human-made sources, and due to the increasing industrialization, these sources and accordingly the number of LFN complaints is steadily rising. Although the majority of the general population does not consciously perceive LFN, an estimated 2% of the Dutch adult population experiences severe annoyance from its exposure. Individuals sensitive to perceiving LFN in their everyday life report various physical complaints and particularly psychological complaints, such as sleeping difficulties, fatigue and stress, and cognitive difficulties such as difficulties in concentration or so-called executive functions. Yet, it is unclear why some people are more sensitive to and suffer more from LFN than others, and a comprehensive (neuro)psychological investigation in this population is still lacking. During an exploratory study, the demographic and personal characteristics of LFN-sensitive individuals, the perceptions of LFN-sensitive individuals and the reported physical, psychological, and social health-related symptoms and restrictions in daily living were investigated.

Noise exposure presents one of the most serious environmental stressors that can substantially affect the health, daily living, and quality of life of affected individuals [1, 2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) specifically emphasizes the increased risk for cardiovascular disease, sleep disturbances, annoyance, and cognitive impairments as consequences of noise exposure [3]. While a lot of attention is paid to noise and its adverse impact on health and well-being, a special type of noise, so-called, low-frequency noise (LFN), is still rarely investigated as an environmental stressor. Low-frequency noise refers to noise around and below the human hearing threshold (125Hz) [4]. Since LFN is mainly produced by human-made sources, such as traffic or ventilation systems, the growing industrialization seems to be also accompanied by rising numbers of LFN complaints [4, 5]. For example, health authorities received more LFN reports since 2016 than reports of normal noise as

stated by the Dutch Institute for Public Health and the Environment [4]. However, the majority of the general population does not consciously perceive LFN and it is presently unknown what proportion is especially sensitive to perceiving LFN or why some individuals are more sensitive. One estimation by the RIVM suggests that 8% of the Dutch adult population experiences some and 2% severe annoyance from LFN exposure [6]. Moreover, there is still much unknown regarding the sources of the perceived sound and the causes or mechanisms underlying the reported complaints.

Among those reporting to perceive LFN in their everyday life, various physical, psychological, cognitive, and daily functioning restrictions are reported [5, 7, 8, 9]. Specifically, reported physical symptoms include headaches or dizziness and main psychological complaints include annoyance, sleeping difficulties, fatigue, stress, or feelings of depression. Furthermore, cognitive difficulties in concentration, in so-called executive functions, or while performing cognitively demanding tasks are reported. However, evidence from research examining the effect of LFN on objective cognitive test performance shows mixed results and does not allow a consensus so far [10]. Overall, these reported complaints are assumed to significantly affect individuals' daily functioning and may lead to relationship or family problems, housing issues, incapacity for work, or job loss [5, 9, 11]. Considering the substantial subjective burden experienced by individuals reporting to perceive LFN, there is a high need for a thorough and systematic (neuro)psychological investigation of the consequences of LFN.

Moreover, in order to understand the individuals suffering from LFN and their complaints, additional factors have to be taken into account. For instance, individual differences in the sensitivity to specific frequencies and hearing thresholds might underlie the conscious perception of LFN [9]. Within the frame of general noise, it was suggested that such noise sensitivity and also other non-acoustic factors including sociodemographic and individual characteristics or personality constitute substantial predictors for reactions to noise and psychological health outcomes [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. However, research on such factors and the differential characteristics of individuals suffering from LFN specifically is still scarce [8]. In regard to sociodemographic factors, it seems that LFN-perceptions are most frequently reported by individuals aged between 50 and 70 years and in two-thirds of the cases by females [5, 9, 17, 18]. Furthermore, first evidence considering the association between personality and LFN-perception seems to point towards introverts being more sensitive to LFN [19, 20], however firm conclusions regarding this or other aspects of personality cannot be made so far.

Finally, survey studies investigating the location, time, and type of LFN-perceptions suggest substantial heterogeneity requiring further investigation. Where, when, and how LFN is perceived seems to differ greatly between individuals. There seem to be general tendencies in reports of LFN being perceived mainly indoors, especially at home, and mostly all the time or at nights only, rarely at daytime alone [5, 9, 11, 18]. Individuals seem to predominantly perceive LFN by hearing (often described as a humming, but sometimes also as buzzing, throbbing, or engine-like sound). However, also feelings of pressure and vibrations are reported [5, 9, 11, 18]. The considerable individual differences in the LFN-perceptions necessitate further investigation and the examination of possible subgroups is recommended.

Overall, considering the possible adverse health effects of LFN and the experienced burden of affected individuals, more attention should be given to LFN as an environmental stressor, as was also indicated by the WHO [1]. Thorough and systematic research on individuals reporting to experience LFN in their daily life and their complaints is still scarce. Current insights are partly based on studies applying short-term exposure of LFN in laboratory settings, small groups, restricted test batteries, specific settings (e.g. occupational settings), different definitions of LFN, or individuals who are not always sensitive to LFN. Therefore, a current research project by the Department of Clinical and Developmental Neuropsychology at the University of Groningen investigates the demographic and personal characteristics of individuals reporting LFN complaints, their specific LFN-perceptions as well as the reported physical, psychological, and social health-related symptoms and restrictions in daily living compared to individuals who are not perceiving LFN.

REFERENCES

- [1] Berglund, B., Lindvall, T., Schwela, D., H. & World Health Organization. Occupational and Environmental Health Team. (1999). *Guidelines for community noise*. World Health Organization. Retrieved from <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/66217>
- [2] World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe. (2018). *Environmental noise guidelines for the European Region*. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/279952>
- [3] World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe. (2011). *Burden of disease from environmental noise: Quantification of healthy life years lost in Europe*. Retrieved from http://www.euro.who.int/_data/assets/pdf_file/0008/136466/e94888.pdf
- [4] Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu. (2020). *Laagfrequëntgeluid* [Fact sheet]. Retrieved from <https://www.rivm.nl/sites/default/files/2020-09/Factsheet%20laagfrequent%20geluid.pdf>
- [5] Erasmus, M., & van der Plas, D., (2020). *Jaarrapportage 2019 Laagfrequentgeluid*. Retrieved from <https://www.laagfrequentgeluid.nl/download/jaarrapportage-scroll-2019.pdf>
- [6] van Kamp, I., Breugelmans, O., R., P., van Poll, H., F., P., M., Baliatsas, C., van Kempen, E., E., M., M. (2018). Meldingen over en hinder van Laagfrequent Geluid of het horen van een bromtoon in Nederland: Inventarisatie. Retrieved from <https://www.rivm.nl/bibliotheek/rapporten/2018-0119.pdf>
- [7] Alves, J. A., Filipa, N. P., Silva, L., T., & Paula, R. (2020). Low-frequency noise and its main effects on human health—a review of the literature between 2016 and 2019. *Applied Sciences*, 10(5205). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10155205>
- [8] Baliatsas, C., van Kamp, I., van Poll, R., & Yzermans, J. (2016). Health effects from low-frequency noise and infrasound in the general population: is it time to listen? a systematic review of observational studies. *Science of the Total Environment*, 557-558, 163–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.03.065>
- [9] Leventhall, G., Pelmear, P., & Benton, S. (2003). *A review of Published research on Low Frequency Noise and its effects*: UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. <https://doi.org/EPG1/2/50>
- [10] Erdélyi K., Fuermaier, A. B. M., Koerts, J., Tucha, L., & Tucha, O. (2019). Laagfrequent geluid als omgevingsstressor in relatie tot neuropsychologische beperkingen. *Psychopraxis Neuropraxis*, 23(3), 48–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12474-019-00221-7>
- [11] Møller, H., & Lydolf, M. (2002). A questionnaire survey of complaints of infrasound and low-frequency noise. *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control*, 21(2), 53-63. <https://doi.org/10.1260/026309202761019507>
- [12] Baliatsas, C., Bolte, J., Yzermans, J., Kelfkens, G., Hooiveld, M., Lebet, E., & van Kamp, I. (2015). Actual and perceived exposure to electromagnetic fields and non-specific physical symptoms: an epidemiological study based on self-reported data and electronic medical records. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 218(3), 331–344. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2015.02.001>

- [13] Belojevic, G., Jakovljevic, B., & Slepcevic, V. (2003). Noise and mental performance: personality attributes and noise sensitivity. *Noise & Health*, 6(21), 77–89.
- [14] Flindell, I. H., & Stallen, P. J. M. (1999). Non-acoustical factors in environmental noise. *Noise & Health*, 1(3), 11–16.
- [15] Guski, R. (1999). Personal and social variables as co-determinants of noise annoyance. *Noise & Health*, 1(3), 45–56.
- [16] Stansfeld, S. A., & Shipley, M. (2015). Noise sensitivity and future risk of illness and mortality. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 520, 114–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.053>
- [17] Sloven, I. P. (2001). A structured approach to lfs-complaints in the rotterdam region of the netherlands. *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control*, 20(2), 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.1260/0263092011493172>
- [18] Vasudevan, R. N., and Gordon, C. G. (1977): Experimental study of annoyance due to low frequency environmental noise. *Applied Acoustics* 10(1), 57-69. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-682X\(77\)90007-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-682X(77)90007-X)
- [19] Abbasi, M., Tokhi, M. O., Falahati, M., Yazdanirad, S., Ghaljahi, M., Etemadinezhad, S., & Jaffari, T. P. R. (2020). Effect of personality traits on sensitivity, annoyance and loudness perception of low- and high-frequency noise. *Journal of Low Frequency Noise, Vibration and Active Control*, 146134842094581, 146134842094581–146134842094581. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461348420945818>
- [20] Alimohammadi, I., Sandrock, S., & Gohari, M. R. (2013). The effects of low frequency noise on mental performance and annoyance. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 185(8), 7043–7051.